

20 / 11 / 20

## Transactional NPS Tracker Results: Quarter 4 2020

Our ref. J3066.4



DEFINING THE CLEAREST DIRECTION

E045

## Transactional NPS Tracker

On-going research programme among household customers, to track one of NWG's NPS measures – where customers have contacted the company to get a query or a problem resolved

### Covering:

- Likelihood to recommend, with reasons
- Satisfaction with the handling of the contact, including visits where required
- Overall satisfaction, and satisfaction with value for money

## Approach

Carried out by phone

300 interviews per quarter Sample provided by NWG and TPS-screened before use

Quotas set to achieve 50:50:

- NW:ESW, and
- Billing:Ops

## Qtr4 2020 Contact period: 5 – 17 Oct and 26 Oct – 8 Nov 2020 Fieldwork carried out: 2 – 19 November 2020

The latest results have been tested for statistical significance vs earlier waves. Significantly different figures are highlighted with circles - green indicates a significantly higher result than any figure circled red in the same row



NPS

46.6		52.1	55.3		58.5	49.1
Qtr4 '19		Qtr1 '20	Qtr2 '20		Qtr3 '20	Qtr4 '20
		Qtr4 '19	Qtr1 '20	Qtr2 '20	Qtr3 '20	Qtr4 '20
NWG	Total	46.6	52.1	55.3	58.5	49.1
	Billing	45.7	55.2	66.2	69.6	51.6
	Ops	47.7	49.0	44.3	46.8	46.3
NW	Total	50.3	60.5	61.7	59.0	55.8
	Billing	48.8	56.2	63.0	74.6	55.8
	Ops	52.3	64.9	60.3	43.8	55.7
ESW	Total	42.8	43.4	48.9	58.0	42.4
	Billing	42.7	54.3	69.6	64.9	47.4
	Ops	42.9	33.3	29.2	50.0	36.4



# Top reasons for NPS scores this wave

### Promoters (scores of 9-10) - 191 customers

- Polite/friendly staff (19%)
- No problems (18%)
- Good customer service (18%)
- Helpful (14%)
- Quick response/resolution (13%)
- Good experience/happy with them (11%)
- Resolve problems (9%)
- Good value/fair price (6%)
- Good communication/updates (6%)
- Efficient (6%)
- Easy/straightforward/simple (6%)
- No supply problems (6%)
- Informative/answer questions (5%)
- Good response to issues (4%)
- Understanding/sympathetic/patient (4%)
- Listen to customers (4%)

# Passives (scores of 7-8) – 52 customers

- Satisfied (25%)
- No problems (13%)
- Expensive (13%)
- Quick response/resolution (6%)
- No supply problems (6%)
- Good customer service (4%)
- No reason/indifferent (4%)
- Poor communication/no updates (4%)
- Resolve problems (4%)
- Good value (4%)
- Responsive to issues (4%)
- Supply problems (4%)
- Nothing to compare against/no choice/had no real dealings with them (23%)

### Detractors (scores of 0-6) – 48 customers

- Expensive (23%)
- Problem not resolved (21%)
- Poor customer service (19%)
- Poor communication/no updates (10%)
- Takes too long to resolve issues (10%)
- Satisfied (8%)
- Don't/won't take responsibility (6%)
- Unhelpful (4%)
- Given incorrect/conflicting information (4%)
- Not given enough information (4%)
- Caused financial difficulties/didn't offer compensation (4%)
- Nothing to compare against/no choice/had no real dealings with them (13%)



# Resolution, and its impact on NPS





## Contact handling



#### **Q6a-d** Base: all respondents (Visiting staff manner – where applicable)



# Contact handling

Resolution on first contact	Qtr4 '19	Qtr1 '20	Qtr2 '20	Qtr3 '20	Qtr4 '20
NW	8.2	8.4	8.4	8.6	8.5
ESW	8.4	8.4	8.1	8.6	7.7
Billing	8.8	8.9	9.1	9.0	8.9
Ops	7.6	8.0	7.4	8.2	7.1
Time taken to resolve	Qtr4 '19	Qtr1 '20	Qtr2 '20	Qtr3 '20	Qtr4 '20
NW	8.3	8.5	8.2	8.6	8.4
ESW	8.5	8.5	8.2	8.5	7.8
Billing	8.9	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.8
Ops	7.8	8.0	7.4	8.2	7.3
Call handler manner	Qtr4 '19	Qtr1 '20	Qtr2 '20	Qtr3 '20	Qtr4 '20
NW	9.3	9.5	9.5	9.1	9.1
ESW	9.3	9.1	9.2	9.0	9.1
Billing	9.4	9.4	9.3	9.0	9.3
Ops	9.1	9.3	9.4	9.2	8.9



# Visits, and their impact on NPS (Ops only)

Visit needed?	Qtr4 '19	Qtr1 '20	Qtr2 '20	Qtr3 '20	Qtr4 '20	
NWG	75%	65%	59%	65%	74%	
NW	80%	72%	67%	67%	72%	
ESW	70%	58%	51%	63%	76%	
Visiting staff manner	Qtr4 '19	Qtr1 '20	Qtr2 '20	Qtr3 '20	Qtr4 '20	
NWG	9.4	9.3	9.5	9.4	9.5	
NW	9.4	9.2	9.6	9.2	9.6	
ESW	9.4	9.5	9.4	9.6	9.3	
NPS 56.7		Qtr1 '20 Qtr2 '2	20 🔳 Qtr3 '20 📕	Qtr4 '20		
52.0	45.1	34.3	32.3 34		2 22.9	
\	/isit needed		No visit			



# Information received, and its impact on NPS



#### What information missing? - 36 customers

- Poor communication/no updates (8)
- Not given enough information (8)

• Given incorrect/conflicting information (5)





### HEADLINES

The latest NPS figure is 49.1

A

Billing has seen a significant fall in NPS since last quarter (from 69.6 to 51.6) - driven by a fall in NPS since last quarter among those who said their billing contact had been resolved (from 77.0 to 60.3) There have been falls in contact handling satisfaction in ESW



#### DEFINING THE CLEAREST DIRECTION

#### THANK YOU



#### **TELEPHONE** | +44 (0)113 237 5590

WEBSITE | www.allto.co.uk

A D D R E S S | 23 Harrogate Road, Chapel Allerton, Leeds, LS7 3PD

All of our work is carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard specific to the market research industry, ISO 20252

